



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF  
PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Paper No. 4

Lawrence P. Trapani  
Monroe Building, Second Floor  
333 East Onondaga Street  
Syracuse NY 13202

**MAILED**  
DEC 23 <sup>slw</sup> 1997

**GROUP 2 00**

In re Application of	:
Andrew F. Tresness, et al.	:
Application No. 08/818,231	: DECISION ON PETITION.
Filed: March 14, 1997	: TO MAKE SPECIAL
For: RETURN PATH FILTER	:

This is a decision on the petition under MPEP § 708.02, filed November 19, 1997, to make the above-identified application special.

The petition requests that the above-identified application be made special under the accelerated examination procedure set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Item VIII: Accelerated Examination.

The petition complies with M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Item VIII: Accelerated Examination, in that it is accompanied by (a) the required petition fee of \$130.00, (b) a statement that all claims are directed a single invention or an offer to make an oral election without traverse should the Patent and Trademark Office hold that the claims are not directed to a single invention, © a statement that a pre-examination search has been made by the inventor, attorney, agent, or professional searchers, etc., the field of search was also provided, (d) one copy of each of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims, and (e) a detailed description of the submitted references and discussions pointing out how the claimed subject matter distinguishes over these references.

For the above stated reasons, the petition is **GRANTED**.

The application file is being forwarded to the examiner for expedited prosecution.


If the examiner can make this application special without prejudice to any possible interfering applications, and he/she should make a rigid search for such, he/she is authorized to do so for the

next action. Should the application be rejected, the application will not be considered special for the subsequent action unless the applicant promptly makes a bona fide effort to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to have an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

If the examiner finds any interfering application for the same subject matter, he/she should consider such application simultaneously with this application and should state in the official letter of such application that he/she is taking it out of its turn because of possible interference.

Should an appeal be taken in this application or should this application becomes involved in an interference, consideration of the appeal and the interference will be expedited by all Patent and Trademark Office officials concerned, contingent likewise upon diligent prosecution by the applicant.

After allowance, this application will be given priority for printing. See M.P.E.P. § 1309.

  
Kenneth A. Wieder  
Special Program Examiner  
Technology Center 2700